

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,331	01/30/2004	Yukio Fukui	P24873	5222	
7590 11/26/2008 Bruce H. Bemstein GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SHAAWAT, MUSSA A		
1950 Roland Clarke Place Reston, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/767,331 FUKUI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MUSSA A. SHAAWAT 3627 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

 This action is in response to amendment filed on 08/06/2008. Claims 1-17 are pending examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/767.331

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- Claims 1, 4-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by
 Hao et al., US Patent No. (5.844.553) referred to hereinafter as Hao.

As per claim 1 Hao teaches a processing program edition conferencing method that enables an initial contractor that receives a request to manufacture a sheet metal product, to virtually examine the manufacturing work for the sheet metal product using their own computer the method comprising: a computer of he initial contractor prompting a computer of an outsourcing service center to login to the computer of the initial contractor (see at least col. 7 lines 20-40, figs. 1-9); the computer of the outsourcing center logging in to the computer of the initial contractor (see at least col. 7 lines 20-40, figs. 1-9); and the computer of the outsourcing center thus logged in to the computer of the initial contractor, operating the computer of the initial contractor based on instructions related to a processing edition conference from the initial contractor (see at least col.4 lines 15-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/767,331

Art Unit: 3627

As per claim 4, Hao teaches a processing program edition conferencing method according to claim 1, further comprising accumulating data obtained by operation of the computer of the initial contractor in connection with the processing edition conference, in a memory of the computer of the initial contractor (see at least col.9 lines 3-45).

As per claim 5, Hao teaches a processing program edition conferencing method according to claim 1, further comprising accumulating data obtained by operation of the computer of the initial contractor in connection with the processing edition conference, in a memory of the computer of the outsourcing service center (see at least col.9 lines 3-45).

As per claims 6 and 13, the limitations of claims 6 and 13 are similar to the limitations of claim 1; therefore they are rejected based on the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2-3, 7-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hao in view of Sebastian Et al., US Patent No. (Re. 36,602) referred to hereinafter as Sebastian.

As per claims 2-3, Hao creating a control program for an NC machine tool for producing the sheet metal product and calculating estimated cost to manufacture the product. However Sebastian teaches creating a control program for an NC machine

Application/Control Number: 10/767,331

Art Unit: 3627

tool for producing the sheet metal product (see at least col.1 line 64-col.2 line 65) and calculating estimated cost to manufacture the product (see at least Col. 13 lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Sebastian into the disclosure of Hao in order to provide the customer with concurrently detailed part geometry as well as preliminary design and recommendations.

As per claim 8, Hao teaches a processing program edition conferencing method according to claim 2, further comprising accumulating data obtained by operation of the computer of the initial contractor in connection with the processing edition conference, in a memory of the computer of the initial contractor (see at least col.9 lines 3-45).

As per claim 9, Hao teaches a processing program edition conferencing method according to claim 3, further comprising accumulating data obtained by operation of the computer of the initial contractor in connection with the processing edition conference, in a memory of the computer of the outsourcing service center (see at least col.9 lines 3-45).

As per claims 10-12 and 14-17, the limitations of claims 10-12 and 14-17 are similar to the limitations of claims 2-3 and 8-9, therefore they are rejected based on the same rationale.

6. Examine Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures

Application/Control Number: 10/767,331

Art Unit: 3627

may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to form 892 for cited references.
- Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUSSA A. SHAAWAT whose telephone number is (571)272-2945. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8am-5:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Florian Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/767,331 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mussa A Shaawat/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627 November 21, 2008

/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627